APPENDIX B – LAND OFF TORRINGTON AVENUE, WHITWICK (C19A)

RESPONSES TO PROPOSED ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS (MARCH 2025)

HOUSING	SITE NUMBER – C19a	SITE NAME – LAND OFF TORRINGTON AVENUE COALVILLE
---------	--------------------	--

MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENTS ID	RESPONDENTS NAME
AREA OF SEPARATION			•	
Object to the loss of the Whitwick wedge/Area of Separation which the community has previously opposed development in these areas and the Council has supported. Development will link Coalville and Whitwick and result in urban sprawl. The remainder will be likely to be built on eventually. Requiring a Legal Agreement to be signed will only provide protection for 5-years	In approving the current Local Plan, the previous Local Plan Inspector did not rule out development within the Area of Separation at some future date in the event of increased development needs. The 2023 Area of Separation study concluded that this site was potentially suitable as it provided a coherent extension to the existing built for. This, together with the development needs up to 2042 are such that it is considered now appropriate that this site be allocated, subject to consideration of all other matters. The retention of the remaining open land as publicly accessible open space is a key policy requirement, without which development would not be considered acceptable.	No change	13, 25, 44, 65, 70,74, 102, 120,121, 125, 135, 144, 151, 159, 168, 176, 178, 183, 187, 194, 202, 206, 225, 226, 229, 231, 244, 239, 285, 371, 378	Matthew Watson, T and G Waltham, Katie Smallwood, Franklyn Northage, Jamie Smallwood, Andy Varnam, Nicola White, Debbie Newbold, Jayne Cockburn, Charlie Starbuck, Claire Chambers, James Hensman, Angela Moore, A Mepham, Laura Leigh, Martin Argyle, Oliver Martin, Katarzyna Lewko, Andy Buckingham, Claire Bingham, Shirley Mordecai,

				Sandra Blyth, Anne Garrigan, Christine Walker, T Woolman, Derrick Holmes, Nikki Lynas, Margaret Hill, Clifford Mason and Rena Fletcher, John Gardner, Viv Newman
Loss of identity of Whitwick, it will no longer be a village and it will not be as attractive a place to live.	The proposed allocation seeks to maintain a degree of separation between Whitwick and Coalville, whilst also ensuring that sufficient land is allocate for new development.	No change	25, 29, 33,133,135, 169, 187, 194, 210, 226, 265, 301	T and G Waltham, Bernadette Whelton, Danielle O'Mara, Helen Deacon, Claire Chambers, Jane Thatcher, Andy Buckingham, Claire Bingham, Pamela Moore, Christine Walker, Andrew Palmer, Tom Fletcher
Site is poorly related to existing built form and is located within an Area of Separation.	This site is well related for access to services and facilities. A study of the Area of Separation was undertaken in 2023. This judged that this site was category A site whereby the site "forms coherent extension with suitable	No change	136	Andrew Large Surveyors Limited

	access, can be adequately mitigated and is available and promoted" This, together with the development needs up to 2042 are such that it is considered now appropriate that this site be allocated, subject to consideration of all other matters.			
HIGHWAYS/TRANSPORT The local road network already experiences congestion, particularly at peak times with congestion on the A511 and the various junctions, including Stephenson Way, Hall Lane, Hermitage Road and Meadow Lane. There will be increased dangers for road users and pedestrians in the area generally and more specifically on Torrington Avenue. There will also be increased traffic during construction of any development. Concern that Green Lane would become a main entrance to any future development but that it is not suitable. A link road from Hall Lane to Stephenson Way will create a 'rat run'.	Transport modelling work is being undertaken which will inform the final plan. This will assess the likely impact of individual development upon the highway network, in terms of both safety and congestion, together with considering any necessary mitigation that may be required. The modelling work will inform the decisions of the Council when finalising the plan.	No change	8, 13, 15, 23, 24, 25, 29, 33, 36, 38,40, 43, 44, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 58, 65, 66,70, 74,102, 117,120, 121, 123, 126,133, 135, 144, 151, 169,174, 176, 178,180, 185, 187,189, 190, 192, 194, 196, 199, 202,206, 210, 212, 216, 222,223, 224, 225, 226, 229, 231, 239, 246, 264,265, 301, 346, 371, 378, 380, 420	Mavis Smithard, Matthew Watson, David Whelton, Aaron Moore, Emma Moore, T and G Waltham, Bernadette Whelton, Danielle O'Mara, Claire Page, Lewis Smart, Jacqui Smart, Oliver Meadows, Katie Smallwood, Kai Osborne, Rachael Smith, Cara Garrigan, Neil Rounce, Paul Rowe, Donna Palmer, Franklyn

Ţ	1
	Northage, Martin
	Quilley, Jamie
	Smallwood, Andy
	Varnam, Nicola
	White, Amy
	Collis, Debbie
	Newbold, Jayne
	Cockburn, John
	Williams, Amy
	Massey, Helen
	Deacon, Claire
	Chambers,
	James
	Hensman,
	Angela Moore,
	Jane Thatcher,
	lan Taylor, Martin
	Argyle, Oliver
	Martin, Lewis
	Kent, Adrian
	Court, Andy
	Buckingham,
	Julie Tolley,
	Andrew Tolley,
	Ros Holmes,
	Claire Bingham,
	Tracy Wileman,
	Kay Sketchley,
	Shirley Modecai,
	Sandra Blyth,
	Pamela Moore,
	Molly Thomas,
	Bethany
	O'Donnell,
	Mandy and Gary

				Baxter, Gary
				Bonser, Peter
				Garrigan, Anne
				Garrigan,
				Christine Walker,
				T Woolman,
				Derrick Holmes,
				Margaret Hill,
				Therese and
				Michael
				Willoughby,
				Stephen
				Caulfield,
				Andrew Palmer,
				Tom Fletcher, lan
				Marshall and
				Karen Elburg,
				John Gardner,
				Viv Newman,
				Richard Dawson,
				Andrew Lane
This site is approximately 750m from	As no specific evidence has	No change	87	National Rail
the railway and associated level	been provided regarding this			
crossing and is a considerable	matter it is not considered			
allocation. There is a potential to	appropriate to require a			
impact on the safe operation of these	specific requirement.			
crossings by increasing pedestrian and	However, the potential impact			
vehicular traffic in the area which will	of development on the safety			
require careful assessment. It is likely	and operation of level			
that Network Rail would have concerns	crossings and any appropriate			
in respect of this allocation should it	mitigation measures can be			
come forward and mitigation measures	considered as part of the			
may be required to address any safety	Infrastructure Development			
impact which would be at the	Plan and addressed through			
developer's expense.	Transport Assessments as			

	,	<u>, </u>	,	,
	part of any planning			
	application.			
This site has been considered together with site C19b (Stephenson Green). A single comprehensive site access masterplan including C19b and C46 (Land off Broom leys Road Coalville) is preferred. A joint access with C19b would be more appropriate than single points of access off Hall Lane or Torrington Avenue.	Noted. As set out in response to comments from Jelson Homes (166), it is considered that site C46 should also be included with this site and also C19.	See response to comments from Jelson Homes (160)	150	Leicestershire County Council
The proposed link road should be of a suitable standard to carry buses and also include active travel infrastructure which should link to other active travel routes (<i>Transport Strategy & Policy and Highways Development Management</i>).	Noted and this will be addressed as part of a revised policy.			
On it's own this site would not be likely to have an impact upon the Specified Road Network, but cumulatively with other sites in the Coalville Urban Area they have a significant impact. This can be addressed through the transport evidence base and discussions.	Noted	No change	161	National Highways
INFRASTRUCTURE				
There is already considerable development in the locality, including sites off Stephenson Way and Thornborough Road, which together with this site will overwhelm the area. There is a lack of amenities to support the	Policy IF1 is concerned with the issue of ensuring that new development makes appropriate provision for necessary infrastructure to support growth. This will be	No change	23, 24, 25, 28, 29,33, 36, 38,39, 40,44, 50,53, 57, 58, 66,70,74, 102, 117, 120, 121, 125, 126,	Aaron Moore, Emma Moore, T and G Waltham, Zoe Williams, Bernadette Whelton,
proposed housing with significant impact	secured via		133, 135,144,	Danielle O'Mara,

on services such as doctors, dentists, chemists, schools, shopping, public transport, employment and sewage which are already struggling to cope. There will also be impact upon supporting infrastructure, including water and electricity supply. The area already experiences some low water pressure. Furthermore, the provision of more drains etc will result in disruption to existing residents.	S106 Agreements. In accordance with national policy, the nature and scale of any planning obligation required has to be related to the scale and type of development proposed. This will mean that for larger pieces of infrastructure, such as new schools, it will be necessary for a number of developments to contribute towards such infrastructure. An Infrastructure Delivery Plan is being prepared which will identify the type and amount of infrastructure required for the different developments proposed in the Local Plan.	156, 158,169,176, 178, 180, 183, 185, 187, 189, 190, 192,194, 196, 202, 210, 212, 216, 223, 225, 226, 231, 239, 244, 264, 266, 285, 301, 346, 371, 380, 481	Claire Page, Lewis Smart, Terri Kilby, , Jacqui Smart, Katie Smallwood, Rachael Smith, Neil Rounce, Keith Butler, Donna Palmer, Martin Quilley, Jamie Smallwood, Andy Varnam, Nicola White, Adrian Court, Amy Collis, Debbie Newbold, Jayne Cockburn, Charlie Starbuck, Amy Massey, Helen Deacon, Claire Chambers, James Hensman, Kevin Lynas, Elaine Lynas, Jane Thatcher, Martin Argyle, Lewis Kent, Katarzyna Lewko, Andy
			Kent, Katarzyna

				Ros Holmes, Claire Bingham, Tracy Wileman, Shirley Mordecai, Pamela Moore, Molly Thomas, Bethany O'Donnell, Gary Bonser, Anne Garrigan, Christine Walker, Derrick Holmes, Margaret Hill, Nikki Lynas, Stephen
				Nikki Lynas,
				Caulfield,
				Andrew Palmer,
				Clifford Mason
				and Rena Fletcher, Tom
				Fletcher, lan
				Marshall and
				Karen Elburg,
				John Gardner,
				Richard Dawson,
				Whitwick Parish Council
Existing sewers cannot cope already.	The draft Infrastructure	No change	44, 169, 216,	Katie
New development will make this worse	Delivery Plan notes that Severn Trent Water has		246, 266	Smallwood, Jane
as has happened in the Hugglescote area with the development there.	indicated that schemes will			Thatcher, Bethany
area with the development there.	come forward within the Asset			O'Donnell,
	Management Plan 8 period			Therese and
	(2025 -2030) to address			Michael

	capacity constraints at Snarrows Wastewater Treatment Works. Any future development will need to ensure that adequate provision is made for drainage of sewage.			Willoughby, Andrew Palmer
There needs to be more investment within Whitwick and the wider Coalville area. Lack of shops in Coalville and jobs will force younger people out of the local area.	New housing development will make it easier to attract private investment into the Coalville area as there are more potential customers. The Council is working with landowners and developers to do this. Planning permissions have been given for new developments in the town centre, including leisure facilities.	No change	117, 120, 126, 244	Amy Collis, Debbie Newbold, Amy Massey, Therese and Michael Willoughby
The proposed allocations C18, C19a and C19b will impact significantly on two practices at Whitwick Health Centre. The growth would increase registrations in both practices by 13%, resulting in 4234 and 4386 registrations respectively. The ICB also recognises that further work will need to take place to consider the cumulative effect of these proposed sites alongside sites that have already been approved.	Policy IF1 is concerned with the issue of ensuring that new development makes appropriate provision for necessary infrastructure to support growth. This will be secured via S106 Agreements. In accordance with national policy, the nature and scale of any planning obligation required has to be related to the scale and type of development proposed.	No change	487	Leicester Leicestershire and Rutland Integrated Care Board

	T	T		
	An Infrastructure Delivery Plan			
	is being prepared which will			
	identify the type and amount			
	of infrastructure required for			
	the different developments			
	proposed in the Local Plan.			
	The ICB are actively involved			
	in discussions as part of the			
	Infrastructure Delivery Plan.			
AMOUNT AND TYPE OF HOUSING DEV				1
Already huge housing developments in	The need for new housing	No change	36, 265, 371	Claire Page,
the area - Bardon, Hugglescote,	nationally is significant as		,,	Andrew Palmer,
Greenhill, Ashby etc.	recognised in national policy.			John Gardner
J. 2. 2	The council has to ensure that			
	sufficient provision is made as			
	part of the plan in order to			
	ensure that it is 'sound'.			
Housing requirement of 680 houses per	The need for new housing	No change	133, 192, 193,	Helen Deacon,
year is unacceptable, particularly as the	nationally is significant as	No onange	231, 264, 285,	Ros Holmes,
area has seen a large increase in	recognised in national policy		201, 201, 200,	Matthew Box,
housing stock in recent years. Evidence	and the requirements set			Derrick Holmes,
from the ONS suggests levelling out and	down by the government. The			Stephen
potential reduction in population during	council has to ensure that			Caulfield, Clifford
the lifetime of the plan. The district	sufficient provision is made as			Mason and Rena
should only take its fair share of new	part of the plan in order to			Fletcher,
development and not have to address	ensure that it is 'sound'.			i letoner,
shortfall from Leicester City because	ensure that it is sound.			
1				
they failed to allocate enough land.				
Housing should be more evenly distributed across Leicestershire.				
	The mond for move bossion	NI	100.040	Mataum va a
No strong evidence of local need that	The need for new housing	No change	183, 246	Katarzyna
justifies this level of development.	nationally is significant as			Lewko, Therese
Current developments are not selling as	recognised in national policy.			and Michael
expected.	This need is up to 2042, not			Willoughby
	just the immediate need. New			

	build rates have maintained a consistent level in recent			
	years, which would suggest that properties are selling.			
Empty properties should be brought back in to use, rather than being allowed to stand empty. Similarly, properties should not be allowed to convert too Airbnb with nobody in them most of the time.	For the housing market to operate effectively there always needs to be a certain amount of vacancy to allow for what is referred to as churn. As at the 2021 Census the vacancy rate was estimated to be 3.5%, compared with a national rate of 5.4%. The issue of Airbnb properties is beyond the control of the Local Plan.	No change	244	Nikki Lynas
Developing this site will ensure that the number of new homes required are provided, whilst still maintaining an area of open land between Coalville and Whitwick. Development could help congestion in the area.	Noted.	No change	332	Richard Thorpe
FLOODING				
The site and area are subject to flooding, development will only exacerbate this. There is potential to impact upon existing nearby properties.	Proposed draft policy AP7 seeks to direct development to areas at least risk of flooding. The site is located within Flood Zone 1, which is the lowest risk area for flooding. Information on the Environment Agency website identifies that parts of the site are identified as being at a risk	See response to comments from Leicestershire County Council (150)	28,33, 39,55, 117, 185, 193, 226, 301, 371, 378	Zoe Williams, Daneille O'Mara, Terri Kilby, Paul Rowe, Amy Collis, Adrian Court, Matthew Box, Christine Walker, Tom Fletcher, John Gardner, Viv Newman

Significant surface water flow paths through the site flowing from the southeast to the north-west. Flow paths are wide and may impact on the deliverability of the number of units. A sequential approach to site layout may enable areas of highway and public open space to be strategically located to encompass the surface water flow paths (Lead Local Flood Authority)	of surface water flooding. As set out in response to comments from Leicestershire County Council (150), the revised policy for this site (and C19b) will need to be amended to ensure that the design and layout takes a sequential approach to avoid areas of surface water flooding. Noted. The revised policy for this site (and C19b) will need to be amended to ensure that the design and layout takes a sequential approach to avoid areas of surface water flooding.	That the issue of surface water be addressed as part of ongoing work and through a revised policy to ensure that the design and layout takes a sequential approach to avoid areas of surface water flooding	150	Leicestershire County Council
IMPACT ON BIODIVERSITY	L	L		
The impact on wildlife and biodiversity as the site hosts a variety of fauna and flora coupled with the loss of green spaces.	There are not any specific ecological designations which apply to this site. However, all new developments will be required to make provision for biodiversity net gain consistent with national policies and with policy En1.	No change	8, 24, 25, 29, 33, 39, 40, 44, 49, 50, 52,58, 65, 66,70, 74, 113,117,121, 125, 133, 135, 144, 151, 156, 158, 169, 174, 176, 178, 183, 185, 187, 192, 194, 196, 199, 202, 206, 210,	Mavis Smithard, Emma Moore, T and G Waltham, Bernadette Whelton, Danielle O'Mara, Terri Kilby, Jacqui Smart, Katie Smallwood, Kai Osborne, Rachael Smith,

		212,223, 225,	Cara Garrigan,
		226, 231,244,	Donna Palmer,
		246, 264, 301,	Franklyn
		346, 371, 380,	Northage, Martin
		481	Quilley, Jamie
			Smallwood, Andy
			Varnam, Joe
			Nicholls, Amy
			Collis, Jayne
			Cockburn,
			Charlie Starbuck,
			Helen Deacon,
			Claire
			Chambers,
			James
			Hensman,
			Angela Moore,
			Kevin Lynas,
			Elaine Lynas,
			Jane Thatcher,
			Ian Taylor, Martin
			Argyle, Oliver
			Martin,
			Katarzyna
			Lewko, Adrian
			Court, Andy
			Buckingham,
			Ros Holmes,
			Claire Bingham,
			Tracy Wileman,
			Kay Sketchley,
			Shirley
			Mordecai,
			Sandra Blyth,
			Pamela Moore,
			i airicia ivioore,

The proposed site lies within the Site	Draft policy En1(Nature	No change	345	Molly Thomas, Gary Bonser, Anne Garrigan, Christine Walker, Derrick Holmes, Nikki Lynas, Therese and Michael Willoughby, Stephen Caulfield, Tom Fletcher, Ian Marshall and Karen Elburg, John Gardner, Richard Dawson, Whitwick Parish Council Natural England
of Special Scientific Interest Impact Risk Zone for Grace Dieu and High Sharpley. Evidence is required that any water discharges arising from the development will not cause significant impact to the designated site.	Conservation/Biodiversity net gain) requires that development avoid an adverse impact upon sites of nature conservation value, including Sites of Special Scientific Interest.	No change	040	Tvatural Eligianu
Development of the sites will no doubt have a negative effect on landscape as these sites are large in size, and would lead to the permanent and irreversible loss of biodiversity and geodiversity, as development in combination will lead to the permanent and irreversible loss of greenfield land. It will have a negative effect on the	As set out at paragraph 3.22 of the consultation document, proposed development will need to comply with policy En1 (Nature Conservation/Biodiversity net gain), including biodiversity net gain consistent with national policies. Any	No change	481	Whitwick Parish Council

		T	1	
landscape as these sites are large in	development will also be			
size, and would no doubt result in the	required to provide additional			
merging of settlements and loss of	tree planting consistent with			
identity. This site is also situated in the	policy En3.			
National Forest.				
AGRICULTURAL LAND				
Loss of agricultural land which is used for crops and grazing.	Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land is	No change	8, 113, 223, 371	Mavis Smithard, Joe Nicholls,
or ope and grazing.	defined as Classes 1,2 and			Gary Bonser,
	3a. Natural England's			John Gardner
	Provisional Agricultural Land			John Garanor
	Classification map record the			
	site as being Grade 3. It is not			
	clear, therefore, whether or			
	not BMV would be affected.			
	Generally speaking, 20 or			
	more hectares is generally			
	considered to be significant,			
	the term used in the NPPF.			
	This is more than the			
	proposed site which measures			
	about 11 hectares. Therefore,			
	if the site was to be assumed			
	as all being Grade 3a (and it			
	might not), the loss would not			
	be significant. The NPPF			
	advises that it is necessary to			
	consider the loss of			
	agricultural land against other			
	policy considerations. In this			
	instance the loss of			
	agricultural land has to be			
	weighed against the need for			
	new housing.			

BROWNFIELD AND LAND STABILITY	BROWNFIELD AND LAND STABILITY					
There are brownfield sites elsewhere in the NWLDC area.	The draft Local Plan included an allowance for sites in Coalville Town Centre to deliver 200 dwellings from previously developed land. In addition, it is proposed to redevelop the former Hermitage Leisure Centre for housing. Other previously developed land is currently being redeveloped for housing, including the former Snibston Discovery Park and Workspace 17.	No change	8, 123, 156, 158, 225,	Mavis Smithard, John Williams, Kevin Lynas, Elaine Lynas, Anne Garrigan		
Concerns regarding land stability due to previous mine workings and potential to result in subsidence or flooding.	The Coal Authority has not identified any issues with this site in its response.	No change	33, 135, 194, 202, 301, 378	Danielle O'Mara, Claire Chambers, Claire Bingham, Shirley Mordecai, Tom Fletcher, Viv Newman		
Land stability associated with coal mining works should also be considered.	The issue of land stability is addressed at policy En6 (Land and Air Quality)	No change	150	Leicestershire County Council		
Proximity to Thringstone fault	There are no known reasons as to why development along the line of the Thringstone fault would not be appropriate. The Coal Authority has not identified any issues in respect of land stability with this site in its response.	No change	246	Therese and Michael Willoughby		

	T	T	1	1
	Any prospective developer			
	will need to satisfy			
	themselves in respect of any			
	land stability issues.			
IMPACT ON HEALTH AND WELLBEING			!	
Development will impact and disrupt existing residents due to building works, including noise and dust	As set out in the consultation document, all proposed allocations will require an Air Quality Assessment as part of any future planning applications. Major developments, such as this site, will need to be supported by a Construction Dust Assessment. Conditions will be attached to any planning permission to control the times that construction activity can take place in order to protect the amenity of existing residential areas.	No change	13, 120, 183, 185	Matthew Watson, Bebbie Newbold, Katarzyna Lewko, Adrian Court
Loss of amenity and tranquillity with increased noise and loss of privacy as a result of new development and more traffic. This will also potentially reduce natural light and overshadowing. These will affect quality of life of existing residents. Impact upon the mental health of local residents if wildlife and open spaces are lost and replaced with a sea of houses	Draft Policy AP2 addresses the potential impact of all new development on the amenity of existing residents and would be applied to any subsequent planning application for development on the site.	No change	23, 39, 58,66, 126, 183, 185, 194, 222, 224,226, 379	Aaron Moore, Terri Kilby, Donna Palmer, Martin Quilley, Amy Massey, Katarzyna Lewko, Adrian Court, Claire Bingham, Mandy and Gary Baxter, Peter Garrigan, Christine Walker,

				Lindsey Sawbridge
NATIONAL FOREST				
The supporting text should refer to the site's location in the National Forest.	Noted	That the supporting text include reference to the site's location in the National Forest.	165	The National Forest Company
GREEN SPACES/FOOTPATHS				
Loss of countryside	In policy terms, none of the land is currently identified as countryside in the adopted Local Plan, but rather it is an Area of Separation.	No change	23, 371	Aaron Moore, John Gardner
Erosion of green spaces and network of footpaths.	There are not any public footpaths or bridleways which cross the proposed site, although a footpath does run along the western boundary of the site. Development of the site will not of itself impact upon this. The site is not publicly accessible. New development will be required to include the provision of open space which will be publicly accessible.	No change	39, 229	Terri Kilby, T Woolman
ALTERNATIVE FORM OF DEVELOPME		T	T .	T
Object to the fact that there is to be a road between this area and C19b and that there is to be a bridge over this road "so that the community can visit this green area "	The link road is considered necessary in order to provide adequate access to this site and that site off Stephenson Way (C19b), subject to the outcome of transport modelling. It is not clear as to what is meant by the	No change	17	Melanie Goode

A wide as boundary as possible needs to	reference to a bridge as the proposed policy did not include such a requirement. The draft policy requires that	No change	57	Keith Butler
be retained between this site and C19b. In addition, natural landscaping is required between new and existing properties.	new development should be designed so as to respect the amenity of existing properties on Hall Lane, Tiverton Avenue, Perran Avenue and Stainsdale Green. Other policies in the plan require the provision of adequate landscaping.	No change		Reiti Butiei
Increasing the size of development at C19b and C18 would potentially allow for reduced development at this site which would benefit local residents. This would have less impact on local wildlife and enable the protection of more of the Area of Separation.	These comments are addressed under both C18 and C19b.	No change	187	Andy Buckingham,
More limited development with access from Hall Lane only and no link road to Stephenson Way, together with more development at C19b, would be more appropriate.	The link road is considered necessary in order to provide adequate access to this site and that off Stephenson Way, subject to the outcome of transport modelling. Additional development at C19b would require additional land being included or an increase in density of development. It is not clear that either of these could be achieved.	No change	420	Andrew Lane

COALVILLE RUGBY CLUB				
There will be noise from Coalville Rugby Club which will lead to complaints and the closure of the club	The Agent of Change principle places the responsibility for mitigating impacts from existing noise and other nuisance-generating activities or uses on the proposed new noise-sensitive development. Consistent with this principle the proposed policy specifically requires that the design and layout of any proposed development takes in to account the proximity to Coalville Rugby Club.	No change	44, 70	Katie Smallwood, Jamie Smallwood,
Welcomes the inclusion of text that Coalville Rugby Football Club lies adjacent to the proposed site and there is a need for its operations to be protected from the proposed housing development. In order to comply with the NPPF, it is suggested that the following requirements should be included in this draft policy: Details of measures to protect the operation of Coalville Rugby Football Club from any significant adverse effect arising from the siting of this proposed housing development.	Part (e) of the draft policy already includes wording designed to ensure that there is no detrimental impact upon the operation of the rugby club as a result of housing being nearby. Some minor amendments are suggested.	That the revised policy include the following requirement: Any d Development being designed and measures incorporated to protect of such that there would no adverse impact upon the operation of Coalville Rugby Club as a result of the proximity of proposed dwellings;	96	Sport England
Jelson support the allocation of this site and note that it is considered that the site could accommodate 250 dwellings as set out in the proposed	The contradiction in terms of site capacity is noted and should be 250 dwellings as per the policy.	That this site, together with those off Stephenson Way (C19b) and Broom Leys Farm (C46) be allocated as	166	Avison Young o/b/o Jelson Homes

nalian Hannana alambiantian !-	T	mant of a Ctuatania	1
policy. However, clarification is		part of a Strategic	
required in respect of the capacity as		Development Area to be	
Table 1 only refers to 242 dwellings.		the subject to one overall	
		policy, the wording for	
There are concerns about the		which will be brought back	
approach that the draft policy takes to	The NPPF supports the use of	to a future meeting of this	
the masterplanning of the site. In	masterplans (paragraph 77)	Committee	
	for significant extensions to	Gorminico	
particular, the two sites (C19a and	_		
C19b) are distinct, serving different	existing settlements. Both of		
settlements (Whitwick and Coalville),	these sites are part of large		
and can be developed independently.	undeveloped area of open		
Jelson suggests limiting joint planning	land in the centre of the		
to connectivity and the management of	Coalville Urban Area. It is		
the Area of Separation.	accepted that the two sites will		
·	be subject to their own		
	specific layout and design and		
	that they are likely to be		
	developed by different		
	housebuilders. However, it is		
	important that they are		
	designed in the broader		
	context of the wider area,		
It also supportions of subsetts on loved of	including consideration of the		
It also questioned whether land at	_		
Broom Leys Road (C46) should also	infrastructure necessary to		
be included as part of the joint	support both new		
working.	developments.		
	It is agreed that it would be		
	appropriate for the site at		
	Broom Leys Road (site C46)		
Jelson questions the necessity of a	to also be part of any		
proposed link road between Sites	masterplan. To this end it is		
C19a and C19b, citing a lack of	suggested that site C46		
evidence from the County Council on	should be incorporated with		
its benefits. Furthermore, a road within	sites C19a and C19b into a		
- I			
the Area of Separation would seem			

Support retaining the undeveloped land between the sites as publicly accessible open space but highlights the need to balance recreational use with continued agricultural productivity.	cluster of sites to be the subject of a single policy. The County Highway Authority has advised that a joint access with the Stephenson Way site (C19b) is preferred. The issue of access to this site and the impact on the wider highway network will be considered as part of the transport modelling. This will include understanding the impacts both with and without a link road. Whilst the road would comprise development, it is considered that its impact will not undermine the Area of Separation, particularly as it will all be at ground level, unlike buildings. It is not clear at this stage whether any remining undeveloped land would be viable for agricultural use. However, it is agreed that it would be an acceptable use in principle. This will be addressed as part of a revised policy			
OTHER COMMENTS				
No specific reason given	Noted	No change	46, 134, 143, 200,	Fleur Mason, Marie Main, William

				Blakemore, Anthony Bateman
Development will impact upon climate change factors, only adding to problems.	Other policies of the plan address issues relating to climate change. For example, reducing carbon emissions and Sustainable Urban Drainage requirements. New development will also need to comply with any national requirements, such as Building Regulations.	No change	50, 156, 158, 244	Rachael Smith, Kevin Lynas, Elaine Lynas, Nikki Lynas,
Development should be located elsewhere in North West Leicestershire where development would be less detrimental to the environment and community and where there is more open space, such as south of Coalville.	Significant development is proposed right across the district with the vast majority of settlements having at least one allocation. Land south of Coalville is already being developed.	No change	66, 144	Martin Quilley, James Hensman,
Crime and anti-social behaviour will increase in the area as there will be more people.	The use of a high standard of design can help to prevent crime. Other policies of the Local Plan, together with a recently published Supplementary Planning Document emphasise the importance of good design in new developments	No change	135, 169	Claire Chambers, Jane Thatcher
A Minerals Assessment should be undertaken in accordance with Policy M11 of the Leicestershire Minerals and Waste Local Plan (LWMLP). In addition, development should not prejudice the operation of safeguarded	As the Minerals and Waste Local Plan is part of the development plan, it is not necessary to include these as specific requirements.	No change	150	Leicestershire County Council

waste sites (Coalville Transfer Station and Recycling and Household Waste Sites) in line with Policy W9 of LWMLP. The size of the site, together with other proposed development, is likely to have a significant cumulative effect upon existing waste management infrastructure (Planning)				
There is a high potential for Neolithic-Bronze Age and medieval material remains. Will require pre-determination evaluation (trial trenching), followed by appropriate mitigation secured by condition upon any future planning permission. The heritage potential is assessed as being medium risk (Archaeology)	As set out at paragraph 3.23 of the consultation document, a Desk Based Archaeology Assessment (followed up with any necessary archaeological site investigation) will be a requirement for most sites including this site.	No change		
Devaluation of existing properties.	The impact upon the price of existing properties is not a material planning consideration.	No change	185, 212, 244	Adrian Court, Molly Thomas, Therese and Michael Willoughby
No concerns to raise in respect of the proposed allocations and harm to designated heritage assets, although suggest seeking advice of County curators for archaeological matters.	Noted	No change	197	Historic England
More people means it will be harder to find jobs.	In addition to housing, the plan identifies land for future employment development which will provide more job opportunities.	No change	216	Bethany O'Donnell